

New Housing Slows Rent Growth Most for Older, More Affordable Units

Data show that limited supply aligns with greatest rent increase in low-income neighborhoods

Appendix: Methodology

Researchers for The Pew Charitable Trusts used regression analysis to understand ZIP code rent change across the income distribution and building-level rent change in various building types.

Rent change across the income distribution. Pew analyzed ZIP code-level rent growth from Oct. 31, 2017, to Oct. 31, 2024, using Zillow Observed Rent Index (ZORI) rent estimates, controlling for ZIP-code level and metropolitan area-level characteristics that may influence rent growth. ZIP code-level characteristics include median household income quartiles, population, population density, median home values, median rent levels, non-White population share, rentership rate, share commuting by transit or nonmotorized means, share working from home, housing supply growth, average rent burden, and inequality from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2013-17 American Community Survey (ACS) five-year estimates. Pew accounted for COVID-19-related work location changes using ZIP code-level work-from-home share from the ACS 2019-23 five-year estimates, since the pandemic may have shifted demand preferences for different neighborhoods.

Metropolitan-area variables include population, population density, and median home value from ACS 2013-17 five-year estimates, housing unit growth from 2017 to 2023, in-migration share since 2017, and nonlocal in-migration under \$35,000 income share from the ACS 2019-23 five-year estimates, as well as 2000 to 2017 housing price appreciation from the Federal Housing Finance Agency, Federal Housing Administration mortgage origination share from 2005 to 2017, and 2005 to 2017 mortgage denial rates from the Housing Mortgage Disclosure Act. Pew transformed population, home value, and contract rent, using natural logarithms to normalize the distribution and for tractability of coefficients, and clustered standard errors at the metropolitan-area level.

ZORI covers 1,675 ZIP codes, including both metropolitan and micropolitan areas. Furthermore, only three ZORI ZIP codes are in micropolitan areas, as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau, while the other 1,654 are in metropolitan areas. Pew restricted this analysis to the ZIP codes within metropolitan areas only for a clearer comparison, but sensitivity analyses including all micropolitan areas produce substantially similar results.

Because ZORI only covers a share of all ZIP codes, researchers were aware of the possibility of selection bias of ZIP codes included and not included by ZORI. Pew corrected

for potential selection bias by using a Heckman selection model, which first estimates the probability of being included in ZORI and then estimates the impact on rent change. The selection model includes the following ZIP code-level variables: population (log-transformed), median home value (log-transformed), median contract rent (log-transformed), non-White share, and renter share.

Regression results suggest that from 2017 to 2024, rent growth was higher in the lowest-income quartile ZIP codes than in the highest-income quartile ZIP codes. (See Appendix Table 1.) Metropolitan-level unit growth and ZIP code-level unit growth is statistically significantly negatively correlated with rent growth. The impact is even greater among high-growth metropolitan areas. ZIP code-level controls that are associated with a decreased rent growth rate include population, ZIP code unit growth, population, median home value, median contract rent, rentership rate, share of nonmotorized commuters, and share working from home in 2023. In contrast, rent burden and share working from home in 2017 are positively associated with rent growth. At the metropolitan-area level, unit growth rate is negatively associated with rent growth, while pre-period mortgage denial rates and in-migration rate are positively associated with rent growth.

Regressions using average ZIP code income derived from the Internal Revenue Service’s Statistics of Income data for 2017 instead of the ACS 2013-2017 median household income produces substantially similar results.

Appendix Table 1. Regression 1 Results

Figure 1 regression. Impact of ZIP code and metropolitan-area variables on 2017 to 2024 rent change, for ZIP codes within metropolitan areas

| | | | |
|---|---------------|---|---------|
| Heckman selection model | Number of obs | = | 15,806 |
| (regression model with sample selection) | Selected | = | 1,654 |
| | Nonselected | = | 14,152 |
| | Wald chi2(15) | = | 1573.07 |
| Log pseudolikelihood = -2305.568 | Prob > chi2 | = | 0.0000 |
| (Std. err. adjusted for 374 clusters in cbsa) | | | |

| DV: Rent growth 2017 to 2024 | Coefficient | Robust std. err. | z | P>z | [95% conf. interval] | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------|----------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| ZIP code-level variables | | | | | | |
| Income quartiles (Highest quartile is control) | | | | | | |
| Third quartile | 0.031903 | 0.010754 | 2.97 | 0.003 | 0.010825 | 0.052981 |
| Second quartile | 0.075566 | 0.016886 | 4.48 | 0 | 0.04247 | 0.108661 |
| Lowest quartile | 0.102637 | 0.026589 | 3.86 | 0 | 0.050524 | 0.15475 |
| | | | | | | |
| ZIP unit growth 2017-23 | -0.14117 | 0.034255 | -4.12 | 0 | -0.20831 | -0.07403 |
| Ln 2017 population | -0.09663 | 0.010334 | -9.35 | 0 | -0.11689 | -0.07638 |
| Population density 2017 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.110 | 0.269 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Ln 2017 median home value | -0.06991 | 0.025255 | -2.77 | 0.006 | -0.11941 | -0.02041 |
| Ln 2017 median contract rent | -0.08495 | 0.05055 | -1.68 | 0.093 | -0.18403 | 0.014129 |
| Non-White_share 2017 | -0.02522 | 0.047023 | -0.54 | 0.592 | -0.11738 | 0.066945 |
| Renter_rate2017 | -0.33833 | 0.062444 | -5.42 | 0 | -0.46072 | -0.21594 |
| Share commuting by transit 2017 | -0.08726 | 0.111933 | -0.78 | 0.436 | -0.30664 | 0.132127 |
| Share nonmotorized commuting by transit 2017 | -0.26412 | 0.100277 | -2.63 | 0.008 | -0.46066 | -0.06758 |
| Share working from home 2017 | 1.414571 | 0.409833 | 3.45 | 0.001 | 0.611313 | 2.21783 |
| Rent burden 2017 | 0.008 | 0.002 | 3.360 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.013 |
| Gini 2017 | 0.033 | 0.187 | 0.180 | 0.860 | -0.334 | 0.400 |
| Share working from home 2023 | -0.300 | 0.169 | -1.780 | 0.076 | -0.631 | 0.031 |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|--------|-------|----------|----------|
| | | | | | | |
| Metropolitan area-level variables | | | | | | |
| Metropolitan- area unit growth 2017-23 | -0.5411 | 0.25687 | -2.11 | 0.035 | -1.04456 | -0.03765 |
| Ln 2017 population | 0.002 | 0.018 | 0.090 | 0.931 | -0.034 | 0.037 |
| Ln 2017 median home value | 0.043 | 0.082 | 0.520 | 0.602 | -0.118 | 0.204 |
| Population density 2017 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.420 | 0.675 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Home price appreciation index 2000 to 2017 | 0.000 | 0.001 | -0.660 | 0.509 | -0.002 | 0.001 |
| FHA mortgage share 2005- 2017 | -0.308 | 0.288 | -1.070 | 0.285 | -0.872 | 0.256 |
| Mortgage denial rate 2005-2017 | 1.055 | 0.409 | 2.580 | 0.010 | 0.253 | 1.857 |
| In-migration share 2017- 2023 | 0.961 | 0.451 | 2.130 | 0.033 | 0.078 | 1.845 |
| Nonlocal migration share with income below \$35,000 | -1.160 | 1.552 | -0.750 | 0.455 | -4.202 | 1.882 |
| Constant | 2.063 | 0.754 | 2.740 | 0.006 | 0.585 | 3.540 |
| | | | | | | |
| Selection model | | | | | | |
| DV: Is ZIP code in ZORI? | | | | | | |
| Ln 2017 population | 1.222 | 0.052 | 23.320 | 0.000 | 1.119 | 1.324 |
| Ln 2017 median household income | -0.760 | 0.231 | -3.290 | 0.001 | -1.213 | -0.307 |
| Ln 2017 median home value | 0.186 | 0.103 | 1.800 | 0.071 | -0.016 | 0.388 |
| Ln 2017 median contract rent | 0.001 | 0.000 | 7.940 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| Non- White_share 2017 | -0.437 | 0.274 | -1.590 | 0.111 | -0.975 | 0.101 |

| | | | | | | |
|----------|--------|-------|---------|-------|---------|--------|
| Constant | -8.292 | 2.482 | -3.340 | 0.001 | -13.156 | -3.428 |
| | | | | | | |
| /athrho | -0.311 | 0.079 | -3.930 | 0.000 | -0.467 | -0.156 |
| /lnsigma | -2.037 | 0.043 | -47.870 | 0.000 | -2.120 | -1.953 |
| | | | | | | |
| Rho | -0.302 | 0.072 | | | -0.436 | -0.155 |
| Sigma | 0.130 | 0.006 | | | 0.120 | 0.142 |
| Lambda | -0.039 | 0.010 | | | -0.059 | -0.020 |

Wald test of indep. eqns. (rho = 0): chi2(1) = 15.41 Prob > chi2 = 0.0001

Rent change by building type. Pew analyzed building-level rent growth from 2023 to 2024 using data from RealPage. Building-level data was downloaded from RealPage’s free online portal for buildings within the 150 largest metropolitan areas in the U.S., creating an analysis sample of 41,109 buildings within 5,995 ZIP codes. Pew estimated an ordinary least squares regression to identify the impact of building type on rent change, controlling for both building-level and ZIP code-level characteristics. (See Appendix Table 2). Building-level characteristics come from RealPage and include building class (A, B, or C), occupancy, age, age squared, number of units, number of stories, and average unit square feet. ZIP code-level characteristics come from the 2019-2023 ACS five-year estimates and include population (log-transformed) and rentership rate. Pew estimated a second specification of the same regression model restricted to just properties in metropolitan areas with 2017 to 2023 unit growth above 10%. (See Appendix Table 3).

Results indicate that Class B and Class C buildings had larger rent decreases than Class A buildings during this time period. In high-supply metropolitan areas, this effect was even more pronounced. In both models, rent increases were associated with higher occupancy, slightly older buildings, and larger units, while decreases were associated with buildings with more units and more stories.

Appendix Table 2. Regression 2 Results: All Metropolitan Areas

Figure 3 regression. Impact of building- and ZIP-level variables on 2023-2024 rent change

| | | | |
|-------------------|---------------|---|--------|
| Linear regression | Number of obs | = | 41,109 |
| | F(10, 222) | = | 50.27 |
| | Prob > F | = | 0.0000 |
| | R-squared | = | 0.0743 |
| | Root MSE | = | .0745 |

Linear regression Number of obs = 41,109
 F(10, 222) = 50.27
 Prob > F = 0.0000

(Std. err. adjusted for 223 clusters in cbsa_num)

| Dependent variable: Annual rent change | Coefficient | Robust std. err. | z | P>z | [95% conf. interval] |
|--|-------------|------------------|---------|-------|----------------------|
| Building class type (Class A is control) | | | | | |
| Class B | -0.021 | 0.003 | -8.480 | 0.000 | -0.026 -0.016 |
| Class C | -0.043 | 0.004 | -10.170 | 0.000 | -0.051 -0.034 |
| Occupancy (Yes = 1) | 0.315 | 0.018 | 17.280 | 0.000 | 0.279 0.351 |
| Building age | 0.001 | 0.000 | 9.450 | 0.000 | 0.001 0.001 |
| Building age ^2 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -6.960 | 0.000 | 0.000 0.000 |
| Number of units | 0.000 | 0.000 | -2.540 | 0.012 | 0.000 0.000 |
| Number of stories | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.850 | 0.065 | 0.000 0.001 |
| Average unit square feet | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3.150 | 0.002 | 0.000 0.000 |
| Ln 2023 ZIP code population | -0.005 | 0.001 | -3.660 | 0.000 | -0.008 -0.003 |
| ZIP code rentership rate | -0.025 | 0.006 | -4.000 | 0.000 | -0.037 -0.013 |
| Constant | -0.247 | 0.022 | -11.470 | 0.000 | -0.289 -0.205 |

Table 3. Regression 2 Results: High-Supply Metropolitan Areas

Figure 3 regression. Impact of building- and ZIP-level variables on 2023-2024 rent change for metropolitan areas with at least 10% unit growth from 2017 to 2023

Linear regression Number of obs = 12,861
 F(10, 54) = 97.36
 Prob > F = 0.0000
 R-squared = 0.0987
 Root MSE = .07681

Linear regression Number of obs = 12,861
 F(10, 54) = 97.36
 Prob > F = 0.0000

(Std. err. adjusted for 55 clusters in cbsa_num)

| Dependent variable: Annual rent change | Coefficient | Robust std. err. | z | P>z | [95% conf. interval] | |
|--|-------------|------------------|--------|-------|----------------------|--------|
| | | | | | | |
| Building class type (Class A is control) | | | | | | |
| Class B | -0.032 | 0.003 | -10.9 | 0 | -0.038 | -0.026 |
| Class C | -0.064 | 0.005 | -12.0 | 0 | -0.075 | -0.053 |
| | | | | | | |
| Occupancy (Yes = 1) | 0.286 | 0.021 | 13.7 | 0 | 0.244 | 0.328 |
| Building age | 0.001 | 0.000 | 8.0 | 0 | 0.001 | 0.002 |
| Building age ^2 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -3.7 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Number of units | 0.000 | 0.000 | -2.0 | 0.052 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Number of stories | -0.001 | 0.000 | -1.4 | 0.168 | -0.001 | 0.000 |
| Average unit square feet | 0.000 | 0.000 | 4.0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Ln 2023 ZIP code population | -0.002 | 0.003 | -0.8 | 0.421 | -0.008 | 0.003 |
| ZIP code rentership rate | -0.020 | 0.009 | -2.210 | 0.031 | -0.038 | -0.002 |
| Constant | -0.284 | 0.032 | -8.790 | 0.000 | -0.349 | -0.219 |